

From: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 2/9/2012 3:45:37 PM

To: "Cindy White" <White.Cindy@epamail.epa.gov>
CC: Fred Foreman; "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>
Subject: Re: Dimock sampling problem

That's good news Cindy, I really appreciate all the effort that your team is putting in to this effort.

I am asking Suddha (cc'd) to provide you with the sample IDs of the samples you may pull and disregard. These would be samples shipped since Monday. I am not sure how many of these may have been entered into LIMS but, for those that haven't been entered yet you can save the effort.

thanks again.
Rich

Richard Rupert,
On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. EPA
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-3463 - office
215 514-8773 - mobile
rupert.richard@epa.gov

From: Cindy White/MTG/USEPA/US
To: Fred Foreman/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Date: 02/09/2012 02:01 PM
Subject: Dimock sampling problem

Fred/Rich,

Since we are playing phone tag, I will tell you what my Center Director has agreed to do regarding the # of samples from the Dimock site. We have already logged all samples into our LIMS system and have started analyzing the first 60 samples. We do not want to stop that progress because it would be a paperwork nightmare. However, we will agree to remove samples that you think are unnecessary from the last set of 13 samples that we've received because we have not started analyzing them. Then we will accept more samples but not over 100 total samples.

Please call to discuss.

Cindy White
Analytical Services Coordinator
USEPA/NAREL
540 S. Morris Avenue
Montgomery, AL 36115
334-270-7052 Work
334-270-3454 FAX